

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,516	01/11/2002	Kazuki Kawata	MOR-230-A	2840
75	90 09/05/2003		,	
Andrew R. Basile YOUNG & BASILE, P.C. Suite 624			EXAMINER	
			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
3001 West Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48084-3107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	<u>~</u> .		14
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summary		10/044,516	KAWATA ET AL.	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Scott Kastler	1742	
Peri d	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE - Exi afto - If ti - If o - Fai - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replemaling for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted a reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · ·		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)⊡ Disposi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· ·	
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Applica	tion Papers		•	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
ຸ10)[_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
а)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		_	
Attachme	ent(s)			
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwalm.

Schwalm teaches a carburizing apparatus (figures 1 and 2 for example) which could be employed to perform carburization at the recited gas pressures and compositions (it has been well settled that where the applied prior art apparatus could perform the functions recited in an apparatus claim the actual manner or method of use of an apparatus, such as recited operating temperatures or gas compositions, cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit claims to the apparatus itself, see *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 and MPEP 2114), including a carburizing chamber (4), a gas analysis means (6 and 8 for example, which may include measuring the oxygen or hydrogen (through measurement of H₂O)content, see col. 3 lines 1-5 or the measurement of thermal conductivity (through measurement of flame temperature, see col. 3 lines 6-11) and including a display of results, and a gas composition adjustment means (14,20) for adjusting the carburizing gas content in response to the results of the gas analysis, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwalm in view of Waka et al. As applied to claim 5 above, Schwalm shows all aspects of the above claims, including maintaining the carbon monoxide content to below 30% (see example 1 for example) except to specifically maintain the atmosphere at a pressure of between 13 and 4000 Pa. Waka et al teaches, that in a carburizing process, in order to reduce sooting, it was known in the carburization art at the time the invention was made to maintain a carburizing atmosphere at 3 kPa (3000 Pa) (see col. 3 lines 1-10). Because reduction of sooting during carburization would also be recognized as desirable in the process described by Schwalm, motivation to maintain the heat treating atmosphere at a level of between 13 and 4000 Pa, as taught by Waka et al to reduce sooting, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/044,516

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703) 308-3050. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk